G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.

186 eq 8/51-9
D, 2 56/15-12
Do 10-11.

1921.
(Anuzrai)

File No. 402-21

Serial Nos. 7-9

Later references.

(10) 21/5/1041.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3.

Part B for December 22

SUBJECT

Procession of the Swami of Palkurki Matt before the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt Mri at Halkurki Dister Dabuk

From

The Dy Comr Dunker district.

No 223 & 2-12-22

License for

Reports that the procession could not be in abeyance as the Govt order reached a day too late

(K 9)

Printed on the Multigraph.

(W Porter
27/1/23

F. R. S. 11] 1922.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Misc.)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Procession of the Swami of Palkurki mutt before the Sri Vyasaraya Swami mutt sitting at Malkurki, Raichur Taluq.

From

Mr. D. Srinivasagopalan, Agent of the mutt.

No. Petition Dated 11-11-22 Received 13-11-22.

Diary No.	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies. —	Serial No.
1183			7

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the District Magistrate's order directing the time of a License to Palkurki mutt Swamigalu for the procession to be conducted on any specified date after

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The 13th inst.

The petition was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Raichur with request to issue as far as "this Swamiji was also been processed at Palkurki.

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

"has been advised to appear
"before the beg. of the
"a. police matter, then I must
"decline to pass any Order
"under. It is for the Adj. Asst
"to exercise his discretion in
"the matter of allowing the
"Counsel to appear"

2. The files connected with the
case are submitted.

3. An appeal by Malkhan
Natt against the Asstt.
Magistrate's order, the Assistant
Council heard the Counsel
for parties, and as the appeal
of the Assn, order was
directing that the disputed Khasra
was constructed for the use and
benefit made thereof for worship
do demolished can be placed
the P
before the Asstt. Engr
says Asstt. Engr has
Malkhan.

4. Affidavit the matter, the

R

(no 90-

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

Presented a Tamil petition and
The orders of the members and Board
The matter was discussed & approved
The Dy. Com[missioner] in the matter
5. Both the parties have approached
the Registrable who has
granted a decree for the possession
& Subsequently both parties
the Vysarpadi Tamil Sangam
buildings are to be ..., as specified
date after the 18th inst.
The Vysarpadi Tamil Sangam has
approached first praying for
the cancellation of the Registrable
Registrable's order as
subsequent order to stay execution of
the Dy. Collr. to transfer
both Sangam the Collector.
6 The petitioners the applicants
the Tamil Sangam are the party
Secretary of the Sangam

Ref. No. 1801 dt 11.10.22

The

Kind reference is invited to
para 7 of the petn, wherein it is
stated that conditions have changed regard-
ing worship etc from August, the ...

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mlg File No. 402 of 1922

Serial No.

of the Govt. order. till now & that the Distt Magistrate has disregarded them: If approved, the D. C. may be called upon through the Mlg: Comr to inspect and report on the point.

(Sd) Illegible 15/11/22

4063-447
15-11-22

Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar. Agent of the Nuzvid appeared before me at 3-15 p.m. today & asked for petition being heard tomorrow when his counsel could be present. He added that his counsel Mr Krishnappa. Adv. was engaged in another case & was unable to appear today, though he had come earlier, in the afternoon when I was engaged myself. Mr. Ch. E. E. had come here re about the B'wada boundary line file S. The matter was of no important & urgent.

Illegible
15-11-22

15/11/22

Mr. Krishnappa. Adv. & Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar. Agent appeared before me this day & represented the case. Decision on a point of fact is involved in this matter &

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

A visitor desiring to see any Officer is requested to send up his name, stating the nature of his business.

Name... L. Srinivasachar
agent Sri Vijayanagara

Whom he wishes to see.....
Tahsildar

Business—

with Mr. H. Krishnaiah
Advocate
in connection with an
urgent application
presented yesterday,
requesting a stay order
in the matter of the issue of
a license by the Asst.
Magistrate of Tumkur.
for conducting a procession
with Palkunki Mattu Karagam.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G. O. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

this the local authorities are the best judges. The point is whether or not the conditions laid down in the G.O. of 19.8.22 have been fulfilled. From a perusal of the D.C.'s order it is clear that there was no specific enquiry made on this point. This is just what was asked for in the interests of justice it is necessary that a local enquiry should be made by the D.C. himself or at least the S.D.O. opportunities being afforded to the parties concerned making their representations on the spot, and a decision come to on the point of fact involved. before final orders are passed by the D.C. meantime the orders passed by the D.C on 7.11.22 may be held in abeyance.

16.11.22

(2)

S

17.11.22

S.D.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S.-III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 402 of 1922

Serial No.	
8	To, The Dy. Comr., Tumkur Divn. Letter no. 1435 dy 17-11-22. Indicates the lines on which he should pass orders in the matter of the procession of Palki Utsava, mata swami at Halkurke, and directs that the orders passed by him on 4-11-22 applicable against may be kept in abeyance.
	To, The Asst Commr. Memo no. 1936 dy 14-11-22. Forwarded copy of the above.
	To, The Asst Commr Shiraguppa camp Tq. Letter no. 1937 dy 14-11-22. Circumstances of the above case.
9.	To, The Dy. Commr. Tumkur. Letter no. C. 223 dy 2.12.22. Reports that the orders passed by him on 4-11-22 granting the license [illegible] Cattle have not been [illegible] as [illegible] Sphere on the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mun File No. 1102 of 1922

Serial No.

after the procession was over.
The Asst Magistrate's Comn
under reference explains the facts
of the case fully.
Submit for orders.

WM
6.12.22.

As the licence was granted
and the procession has
taken place under orders issued
from Govt. no action is now called for.
Note that does not dispense with
the Dy Comr inspecting the ~~spot~~ spot
in person finding out what sort
of building has been constructed
by the Mysore people & whether
the worship is being conducted every
day regularly in the manner of the
temples, and report to Govt for orders.
Ramaswamy replied to this effect.

BG
4/12/22

First Member 4/12/22

4677-169
6-12-22

A. What useful purpose will this
serve now? Our communication to the
D.C. reached him a day too late for the
purpose.

Read
8.12.22

AY
9/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19 .

Serial No.

Submitted for orders as to whether the matter may be dropped now. & the papers filed?

Yes

11/12/22

(First Member) 11/12/22

4887-379

12.12.22

Secy. Yes.

Recd

13.12.22 13/12/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1153

Section Ellis

File No. 402-21

Serial No. 8

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 1735/Ene-Judl

Date of issue 17/11/22

Signed by Mr. T.E.J. Aiyar

To

The Dy. Comr.,

Tumkur Distt.

Sir,

In cont of G.O. No 902-Inc3 402-21 Dy 19th August 1922 permitting under certain conditions, the procession of Car festival of Sri [illegible] Swami [illegible] Tiptur [illegible]

over.

directed to forward herewith
petition regd. no. 1183
from the Agent of the
Vyasarayanamatam
appealing against your
order directing the issue
of a license to Padmanabhi
Mutt for ~~confirmation~~ Licence to
it's procession in front of the ~~Siva Mutt~~ Vyasarayanamatam
on any
Specified date after the
15th instant, and to state
that the point is whether
or not the conditions
laid down in the G.O.
19-8-22 have been
fulfilled. From a
perusal of your order,
it is clear that there
was no specific enquiry
made on this point.
This is just what was

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563– GPB—2,500—27 7 22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

called for. I am accordingly to request that in the interests of justice, a local enquiry should be made by you or at least the Sub-Divl Officer, opportunities being afforded to the parties concerned to make their representations, if any, on the spot, and a decision come to on the point of fact involved before final orders are passed by you. I am to add that meantime the order passed by you on 7-11-22 now appealed against, may be held in abeyance.

I have etc.,
17/11/22

1736
Memo No. ~~1756~~
BNR-402-21-8

17/11/22 L.D.C. Rec. Secretary
17/11/22 Copy & file. Inv. with Enquiry Case in my box. For information Sir. 18-0

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7 20

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1153

Section

File No

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

(-)

, *the* 192 .

No 1737

Date of issue 17/11/22

Signed by

To

Endorsement granted to
the Agent of Sri Vyasaraja
Swami Mutt with refer-
his petition rcd. as 1153
appealing against the
Inamdar &c. Comm.
directing the Comm. to
issue a
Parliamentary
group.

at Helsinki in the
British Legation.

The Agent is informed
that orders have been
issued to the Ry. Comr.
directing that the matter
may be further investigated
into & decision arrived at
in the
Arbitration
Also also that
the orders passed by him
on 9-10-22 may be effected
immediately, & may be
treated as absolute.

Yours truly

17/11/22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7-22

14

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 1954

MUTRAH

22—23

402-21-9

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No

Reporting that the construction of the Post Office is to be carried out with effect from 1st April 1954 in order that the 1st date of the next session could be fixed in the year's C.

Office of the District Magistrate,

Tumkur District.

Dated the 2nd December 1922.

From

Md. Zainuddin Mecca, Esqr., B.A.,

District Magistrate.

Tumkur District.

To

T. L. Jayarama Iyer, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1735/Muz.402-21-8 dated 17th November 1922 in the matter of the license for the procession of the Palkurki Matt Swami, in front of Sri Vyasarajaswami Matt -- building at Halkurki, I have the honor to state as follows:-

On an application filed by the Agent of the Palkurki Matt Swami on the 4th October 1922, praying for the grant of a license to carry on the procession on the 13th idem, a report was called for from the Amildar Magistrate of Tiptur. On the 13th idem, a counter petition was received from Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao, Advocate on behalf of the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt, praying that until the Government are pleased to pass some order on the representation made to them, the granting of the license may be postponed. Though there were no sufficient grounds for granting this request, Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao's prayer was granted and a registered notice was issued to him on 14th October 1922, to the effect that a week's time from the date the notice reaches him could be allowed to him to procure the necessary orders from Government in the matter. More than twice the period elapsed and no orders of any kind was received. But in the meanwhile i.e., on 17th October 1922, another petition was filed by Mr. Ramachandra Rao, enclosing an endorsement granted to him by Government and inviting me to visit Halkurki and satisfy -- myself whether His Holiness had not encamped at Halkurki for about

six

six to eight months and did not see the puja to the deity conducted regularly. That the Swamigalu had encamped at Halkurki for about eight months and saw the puja properly conducted, are facts which were already before the Government at the time the last order on the subject was passed by them and hence there was nothing fresh for me to investigate. If Government were not sufficiently impressed with these facts at the time of the last Government order, it was for Mr. Ramachandra Rao to urge them again and have some orders passed in the matter, at the time he filed a Review petition. Apparently, he does not seem to have done this. Anyhow a date was fixed for hearing the arguments on both the sides and Messrs. Basavarodhya and C. Krishna Rao were heard on the 3rd November 1922. As in the arguments also, no fresh facts were brought to notice which would have justified my going to the spot, making enquiries and submitting a report to the Government, an order was passed on the 7th November 1922 directing that a license be issued for carrying the procession of the Palkurki Swami in front of the Sri Vyasarajaswami Matt at Halkurki, in strict conformity with the Government order dated 10th August 1922, on any date after the 16th November 1922, thus giving the opposite party a further period of 8 days to approach Government, if they thought themselves aggrieved by the order and to get a stay order in the matter, making a total of one month and 12 days, since the application was first made on behalf of the Palkurki Swamigalu. As even at the expiry of this period, no stay order was obtained in the matter, the license was issued on the 16th November 1922, to conduct the procession on the 17th idem. The letter under advertence, directing me to come to a decision on the point of fact involved in the case, after local enquiry, either by myself or by the Sub Divisional Officer, reached me at Tumkur on the 18th November 1922 i.e. a day after the procession was over.

Under the circumstances stated above, the order passed by me on the 7th November 1922, granting the license for the procession in question could not be held in abeyance.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate, Tumkur.